

150 South Fifth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402

Heather J. Kliebenstein 612.371.5381 hkliebenstein@merchantgould.com

February 10, 2020 <u>VIA ECF</u>

Honorable David T. Schultz United States District Court 9E U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street Minneapolis, MN 55415

Re: Fair Isaac Corp. v. Federal Insurance Co. et al.,
No. 16-cv-01054-WMW-DTS (D. Minn. filed April 21, 2016)

Dear Judge Schultz:

This letter request relates to FICO's engagement of a consulting expert, Mr. Brian Sacco, to review the Blaze Advisor applications and their deployment of defendants' business rules produced in response to FICO' Request for Production 90.

The Court will recall it ordered this production in response to RFP 90 on June 4, 2019. (Dkt. 305.) That Order was affirmed on October 21, 2019. (Dkt. 593.) Federal's production was made in the proper format on December 17, 2019, one day before the hearing on the parties' dispositive motions. Defendants' actions have substantially delayed the progress of the case in this regard.

Because defendants' business rules are designated Attorneys' Eyes Only under the protective order, and because defendants' refused FICO's request to have one of its technical experts assist counsel in the analysis of this production, FICO engaged a

## CASE 0:16-cv-01054-DTS Doc. 701 Filed 02/10/20 Page 2 of 2

Honorable David T. Schultz February 10, 2020 Page **2** of **2** 

consulting expert, Mr. Brian Sacco. FICO provided Mr. Sacco's CV and confirmation that Mr. Sacco signed the written assurances under the Protective Order on January 16, 2020.

Federal objects to Mr. Sacco viewing this Attorneys' Eyes Only information. We understand Federal has contacted chambers to schedule a hearing date on its motion for a protective order. The purpose of this letter is to request either that this motion is decided without a hearing or that an early hearing date is set. A substantial amount of time has passed since FICO served RFP 90, in December 2018. FICO's trial preparation should not be further prejudiced by further delay.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Heather J. Kliebenstein

Hersher Khetenston